Why Family Homelessness Is a Trauma Crisis, Not Just a Housing Crisis
Housing Alone Cannot Heal the Lasting Effects of Trauma
By Morgan Miller, M.S.

We Can't Solve What We Misunderstand

Family homelessness is often measured by whether a family has housing. But for children, it is also measured by how instability shapes their development. A housing crisis for a parent becomes part of the environment in which a child learns about safety, relationships, and the world around them. Stable housing is essential, but until we recognize family homelessness as both a housing crisis and a trauma crisis, our responses will continue treating the immediate emergency while leaving its lasting effects largely unaddressed.

On a single night in 2024, nearly 150,000 children in the United States were experiencing homelessness, a 33% increase in just one year (U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development [HUD], 2024). In King County alone, 16,868 people were experiencing homelessness, a 26% increase since 2022, while more families sought assistance than the shelter system could accommodate (King County Regional Homelessness Authority, 2025; Vision House, 2025). Yet these numbers tell only part of the story. Most families experiencing homelessness are never seen sleeping on sidewalks or in tents. They are sleeping in cars, motels, shelters, or doubled up with relatives and friends, doing everything they can to protect their children from stigma by remaining invisible to the systems designed to help them. (National Center for Homeless Education, 2023).

For many families, homelessness is the culmination of years of cumulative adversity shaped by poverty, racism, housing instability, and trauma. When they turn to systems intended to help, they often encounter new forms of harm. Parents may be asked to recount painful experiences repeatedly to prove they qualify for services, comply with rigid rules that disrupt their children's routines, or navigate fragmented systems that value documentation and compliance over healing (Thompson et al., 2020; Kiebler & Stewart, 2023; Canham et al., 2024; Zhu et al., 2020). Families are asked not only to survive trauma, but also to continually demonstrate that they deserve help.

If our responses focus only on providing housing without addressing the trauma that surrounds it, we should not be surprised when families continue to struggle. Housing is the foundation of recovery, but it is not recovery itself. Truly ending family homelessness requires more than placing families back inside four walls. It requires reimagining the systems surrounding them, so they foster safety instead of surveillance, partnership instead of punishment, and healing instead of retraumatization. Only when we address the trauma that sustains family homelessness can we begin to break the cycle of it.

Family Homelessness is a Trauma Crisis

Family homelessness doesn’t begin with an eviction notice. It is the disruption of nearly every condition that allows children and families to feel safe, including stable relationships, predictable routines, emotional security, and a sense of belonging. While losing housing is deeply traumatic, family homelessness often reflects years of cumulative adversity shaped by poverty, racism, violence, housing instability, and chronic stress. Housing loss deepens trauma but is rarely the beginning of it.

Homelessness exposes children to chronic stress during some of the most important periods of brain development. Frequent moves, disrupted sleep, inconsistent healthcare and education, and prolonged uncertainty interfere with emotional regulation, learning, and healthy development (Anthony et al., 2018; DeCandia et al., 2023). Nearly one-quarter of preschool-aged children experiencing homelessness have developmental delays, nearly one-third exhibit symptoms of posttraumatic stress, and almost 70% of infants and toddlers experience chronic health conditions associated with prolonged stress and inconsistent healthcare (DeCandia et al., 2023; Herbers et al., 2014). These outcomes are not inevitable consequences of poverty or reflections of parental failure. Children are remarkably adaptable, but they were never meant to adapt to chronic instability.

Parents don't stop being parents because they lose housing. They must continue nurturing their children, maintaining routines, making difficult decisions, and planning for the future while living with financial uncertainty and the constant fear of losing what little security remains. Chronic stress impairs executive functioning and emotional regulation, making daily caregiving more difficult despite parents' best efforts (Shinn et al., 2015). For many mothers, particularly mothers of color, these pressures are compounded by fears of being judged as "unfit," leading some to conceal their struggles or avoid seeking help altogether (Adams et al., 2024).

These survival responses are commonly misunderstood. Behaviors such as hypervigilance, emotional withdrawal, guardedness, or avoidance are interpreted as signs of dysfunction when they are adaptive responses to prolonged adversity (van der Kolk, 2014; Gilmoor et al., 2020). Likewise, parents who miss appointments, appear distrustful, or struggle to navigate complex systems are viewed through a deficit lens rather than recognized as individuals managing chronic stress. Trauma changes how people survive, not whether they care. Too often, survival is mistaken for dysfunction by people who have never had to survive that way.

Even amid profound instability, parents celebrate birthdays in shelters, sing children to sleep, and protect sibling relationships, small acts that preserve normalcy when everything else has changed. (Reppond & Bullock, 2020; Inglis et al., 2023). Resilience explains how families endure impossible circumstances. It should never be used to justify asking them to.

Homelessness doesn't happen in isolation. Families are disproportionately affected by intersecting structural inequities, including poverty, racism, and gender inequality, that increase both exposure to trauma and barriers to recovery (HUD, 2024). Trauma runs through the pathways that lead families into housing instability and continues to shape their lives long afterward.

Instead of asking why families struggle to recover after losing housing, we should ask whether the environments we create give children and caregivers the safety, stability, and support they need to heal.

How Systems Retraumatize Families

Imagine telling the story of your eviction five times in one week. Then imagine being asked to tell it again before someone decides whether you qualify for help. Support shouldn’t require proving you’ve suffered enough. For many families, asking for help means reliving the worst moments of their lives. The systems designed to provide stability often require families to navigate fragmented institutions, conflicting expectations, and burdensome administrative

processes at the very moment they have the fewest resources to do so. These systems unintentionally reproduce trauma rather than interrupting it.

One of the most common sources of retraumatization is the repeated requirement to recount painful experiences. Each new intake often requires families to tell the same painful story to a new stranger. Families may be asked to describe domestic violence, eviction, financial hardship, or other traumatic events several times to different providers simply to qualify for services (Milaney et al., 2019). Families may also be required to produce documentation, police reports, or proof of homelessness before they can access basic resources, reinforcing the message that they must first prove their suffering, and their deservingness, to receive help (Rita et al., 2023).

Many shelter environments also mirror carceral logics through constant rule enforcement, including strict curfews, mandatory meetings, intrusive questioning, and rigid compliance requirements that prioritize monitoring over stability (Reppond & Bullock, 2020). Some shelters require parents to wake young children before dawn for curfew checks or mandatory procedures, forcing families to sacrifice the very routines children rely on to feel safe (Thompson et al., 2020).

Trauma is also frequently misunderstood within institutions. Behaviors rooted in chronic stress, such as hypervigilance, emotional withdrawal, missed appointments, or children's behavioral challenges, are interpreted as defiance, disinterest, or neglect rather than adaptive responses to adversity (Campbell, 2021). Families encounter surveillance or punishment when they need understanding and support. Parents report fears of being judged as irresponsible or unfit, while children face disciplinary responses to behaviors that reflect trauma rather than misconduct (Anthony et al., 2018; Reppond & Bullock, 2020). Trauma-informed care asks, “what happened to you?” while systems still ask, “what’s wrong with you?”

These experiences are compounded by stigma. Families experiencing homelessness are viewed through a deficit-based lens that emphasizes personal responsibility over structural barriers (Adams et al., 2024; Canham et al., 2024). To avoid judgment (or, in some cases, the fear of child welfare involvement) many parents conceal their housing status, minimize their distress, or disengage from services altogether (Zhu et al., 2020). What systems interpret as resistance is a protective response shaped by previous experiences of harm.

The problem is not that people working within these systems lack compassion. It is that many systems were designed around surveillance, compliance, and scarcity rather than healing. When families must repeatedly prove they deserve support, sacrifice autonomy to receive services, or navigate fragmented institutions while in crisis, trauma is no longer only something the system responds to, but it becomes something the system perpetuates as well.

If family homelessness is a trauma crisis, then trauma-informed care cannot stop at training individual providers. It must extend to the design of the systems themselves. Building trauma-responsive systems means reducing unnecessary barriers, coordinating care across institutions, and replacing surveillance with trust, compliance with partnership, and crisis management with conditions that allow families to heal.

The Intergenerational Effects

Trauma doesn’t end when a lease begins. A housing crisis may last months, but its developmental effects can last decades, shaping children's development, caregiver wellbeing, and

family stability across the life course. (Bronfenbrenner, 1979; Johnson et al., 2025). When children spend months or years living with chronic uncertainty, a family's housing crisis becomes part of their developmental environment.

For children, prolonged exposure to housing instability, caregiver stress, and stigma disrupt cognitive, emotional, and social development during critical periods of growth (Banaj & Pellicano, 2020; DeCandia et al., 2023). These experiences are associated with greater risks to mental health, educational attainment, and long-term economic mobility (Fowler & Farrell, 2017). The longer instability persists, the more likely its effects are to accumulate. Childhood not only prepares us for the future, but also becomes part of it.

Caregivers' experiences matter just as much, as many parents experiencing homelessness carry their own histories of trauma, including adverse childhood experiences (ACEs), depression, or posttraumatic stress. Parents' histories of trauma can compound the stress of housing instability, making it more difficult to consistently provide the emotional presence and predictability children need during times of crisis (Zhang et al., 2020; Boullion et al., 2024). Without sustained opportunities for recovery, today's instability becomes tomorrow's developmental risk.

The persistence of trauma is not evidence that families are incapable of healing. It reflects what happens when instability is prolonged and opportunities for recovery remain out of reach. Research consistently demonstrates that stable housing, supportive relationships, predictable routines, and trauma-responsive services strengthen resilience and improve long-term outcomes for both children and caregivers (Herbers et al., 2014; DeCandia et al., 2023). Healing becomes possible when families are given the conditions to recover. Children do not need perfect childhoods to thrive, but they do need stable relationships, predictable environments, and adults who have the support to keep showing up.

What Actually Helps Families Heal

Families don't need to become more resilient. They need systems to do so. Stable housing is essential, but lasting recovery requires environments that foster safety, dignity, connection, and healing.

Families must first be recognized as partners rather than problems to be managed. Too often, they are expected to navigate disconnected systems, repeatedly prove their eligibility, and adapt to institutional requirements during the most difficult periods of their lives. Trauma-informed, family-centered approaches reverse that dynamic by coordinating services across housing, healthcare, education, and social supports while reducing unnecessary barriers and repeated trauma disclosures (Spiropoulos et al., 2025; Wiewel & Hernandez, 2022). Rather than asking families to fit the system, these approaches ask systems to better serve families.

Healing is difficult when every decision is shaped by survival. Financial insecurity is one of the most persistent sources of chronic stress, making guaranteed income, flexible financial assistance, and other unconditional supports powerful tools for promoting both housing stability and family wellbeing (Ghuman, 2022). Likewise, trauma-responsive early learning programs provide children with predictable routines, emotionally attuned relationships, and culturally responsive environments while strengthening caregivers' confidence and capacity (Chudzik et al., 2022; Sun et al., 2023). These investments recognize that families heal most effectively when they have both material stability and supportive relationships.

Families should not have to earn the right to stability before being given the opportunity to rebuild their lives. Housing First approaches demonstrate that families achieve stronger housing and wellbeing outcomes when they receive permanent housing without first having to prove they are "housing ready" or complete treatment requirements (Piat et al., 2015; Nnawulezi et al., 2025). When paired with coordinated case management, strong landlord partnerships, and flexible supports, these models replace gatekeeping with partnership and create the stability families need to recover (Fowler et al., 2019; Grainger, 2024).

The best homelessness intervention is often the one that prevents homelessness from happening at all. Communities consistently spend more responding to homelessness than preventing it, despite strong evidence that early intervention is both more effective and more cost-efficient (Ly & Latimer, 2015; Piat et al., 2015). Investments in affordable housing, eviction prevention, early childhood supports, mental healthcare, and coordinated public systems help families remain stable before a housing crisis occurs. Rather than waiting until families reach their breaking point, prevention recognizes that stability is built long before homelessness begins.

Ultimately, ending family homelessness is not about helping families survive a crisis. It is about creating the conditions in which families no longer have to live in crisis at all. Housing is the foundation of recovery, but healing requires more. When families have stable housing, economic security, coordinated supports, and relationships grounded in trust and dignity, they are far more likely to achieve not only housing stability, but the opportunity to thrive.

Building Systems That Help Families Heal

How we define the problem determines the solutions we pursue. When we define success solely as helping families secure housing, we risk overlooking the conditions that make lasting stability possible.

Families are not failing; the systems surrounding them are. Parents experiencing homelessness demonstrate extraordinary resilience while navigating financial hardship, stigma, fragmented services, and chronic uncertainty. Children continue to learn, grow, and adapt despite disruptions that no child should have to endure. While the resilience of these families should inspire us, it must not become an excuse to expect them to overcome hardship on their own. The question is not whether families are capable of healing. The question is whether our policies, institutions, and communities create the conditions that allow healing to occur.

Ending family homelessness therefore requires more than expanding the supply of affordable housing, although that remains essential. It also requires redesigning policies, funding structures, and institutions around dignity rather than deservingness, partnership rather than compliance, and prevention rather than crisis response. We cannot build trauma-informed organizations inside trauma-producing systems.

If we recognize family homelessness as both a housing crisis and a trauma crisis, we can build systems that do more than help families survive. We can build systems that help families heal, children thrive, and future generations experience the safety, stability, and belonging that every family deserves. Housing ends an episode of homelessness. Healing breaks the cycle of trauma.

Author's Note: This article is adapted from the author's graduate capstone, Breaking the Cycle of Complex Trauma for Families Experiencing Homelessness in Seattle: Utilizing Trauma-

Informed, Family-Centered Solutions (University of Oregon, 2025). It has been revised for a general audience while preserving the underlying research and APA citations.

References

Adams, E. A., Brennan-Tovey, K., McGrath, J., Thirkle, S., Jain, N., Aquino, M. R. J., Bartle, V., Kennedy, J., Ogden, M., Parker, J., Koehne, S., Kaner, E., & Ramsay, S. E. (2024). A Co-produced International Qualitative Systematic Review on Lived Experiences of Trauma During Homelessness in Adulthood and Impacts on Mental Health. *Trauma, violence & abuse*, 15248380241286839. Advance online publication. https://doi.org/10.1177/15248380241286839

Anthony, E., Vincent, A., Shin, Y., (2018). Parenting and child experiences in shelter: A qualitative study exploring the effect of homelessness on the parent–child relationship. *Child & Family Social Work.*, *23*(1), 8–15. https://doi.org/10.1111/cfs.12376

Banaj, N., & Pellicano, C. (2020). Childhood Trauma and Stigma. In G. Spalletta, D. Janiri, F. Piras, & G. Sani (Eds.), *Childhood Trauma in Mental Disorders* (pp. 413–430). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-49414-8_19

Boullion, A., Brott, H., Oren, T., Van Eckhardt, M., Holland, M., Brock, S. E., & Incaudo, G. A. (2024). Transgenerational impact of maternal adverse childhood experiences on children's mental health among families experiencing homelessness. *Child Protection and Practice*, *3*, 100063. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chipro.2024.100063

Bronfenbrenner, U. (1994). Ecological models of human development. In *International encyclopedia of education* (2nd ed., Vol. 3, pp. 37–43). Oxford: Elsevier.

Callahan, R. F., Redburn, S., Larson, L., & Riley, B. (2025). Reducing homelessness: An intergovernmental challenge. *Public Administration Review*, *85*(5), 1288–1301. https://doi.org/10.1111/puar.13918

Campbell, A. T. (2021). *Addressing the community trauma of inequity holistically: The head and the heart behind structural interventions*. *Denver Law Review, 98*(1), 1–52. https://repository.law.uic.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1805&context=facpubs

Canham, S. L., Weldrick, R., Erisman, M., McNamara, A., Rose, J. N., Siantz, E., Casucci, T., & McFarland, M. M. (2024). A Scoping Review of the Experiences and Outcomes of Stigma and Discrimination towards Persons Experiencing Homelessness. *Health & Social Care in the Community*, *2024*(1), 2060619. https://doi.org/10.1155/2024/2060619

Chudzik, M., Corr, C., & Santos, R. M. (2025). Trauma-Informed Care in Early Childhood Education Settings: A Scoping Literature Review. *Early Childhood Education Journal*, *53*(2), 477–488. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10643-023-01596-3

DeCandia, C., Herbers, J., Volk, K., DeCandia, C. J., Herbers, J. E., Unick, G. J., & Volk, K. (2023). Parent Characteristics Associated with Neurodevelopmental Competence for Young Children Experiencing Family Homelessness. *Journal of Child and Family Studies.*, *32*(5), 1546–1558. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10826-023-02566-4

Fowler, P.J. and Farrell, A.F. (2017), Housing and Child Well Being: Implications for Research, Policy, and Practice. Am J Community Psychol, 60: 3-8. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajcp.12154

Fowler, P. J., Hovmand, P. S., Marcal, K. E., & Das, S. (2019). Solving Homelessness from a Complex Systems Perspective: Insights for Prevention Responses. *Annual Review of Public Health*, *40*(1), 465–486. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-publhealth-040617-013553

Ghuman, U. (2022). A Policy Review of the SEED (Stockton Economic Empowerment Demonstration) Project: Is the Devil in the Details? *International Journal of Community Well-Being*, *5*(4), 819–830. https://doi.org/10.1007/s42413-021-00145-3

Gilmour, A., Vallath, S., Regeer, B., & Bunders, J. (2020). "If somebody could just understand what I am going through, it would make all the difference": Conceptualizations of trauma in homeless populations experiencing severe mental illness. *Transcultural Psychiatry.*, *57*(3), 455–467. https://doi.org/10.1177/1363461520909613

Grainger, G. L. (2024). How Do Housing First Caseworkers Mediate Landlord-Tenant Conflicts? *Housing, Theory and Society*, *41*(2), 147–168. https://doi.org/10.1080/14036096.2023.2268637

Herbers, J. E., Cutuli, J. J., Supkoff, L. M., Narayan, A. J., & Masten, A. S. (2014). Parenting and coregulation: Adaptive systems for competence in children experiencing homelessness. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, *84*(4), 420–430. https://doi.org/10.1037/h0099843

Inglis, G., Jenkins, P., McHardy, F., Sosu, E., & Wilson, C. (2023). Poverty stigma, mental health, and well-being: A rapid review and synthesis of quantitative and qualitative research. *Journal of Community & Applied Social Psychology*, *33*(4), 783–806. https://doi.org/10.1002/casp.2677

Johnson, J. K. M., Werner, K., Bartley, S., Dickenson, E., Woodsby, A., Tine, E. R., & Yadama, G. N. (2025). *Using community-based system dynamics to understand connections between housing and education: A case study with implications for homelessness prevention school-housing partnership*.

Kiebler, K., & Stewart, K. (2023). “Their great shame is poverty”: Women portrayed as among the “undeserving poor” are seen as deserving stigma and state surveillance. *Journal of Social Issues, 79*(3), 599–623. https://doi.org/10.1111/josi.12588

King County Regional Homelessness Authority [KCRHA]. (2024). *2024 Point-in-Time Count of persons experiencing homelessness in King County*. https://kcrha.org/wp-content/uploads/2025/05/Point-in-Time-Count-2024_King-County_final.pdf

Milaney, K., Lockerbie, S. L., Fang, X. Y., & Ramage, K. (2019). The role of structural violence in family homelessness. *Canadian Journal of Public Health-Revue Canadienne De Sante Publique,* 110(5), 554–562. https://doi.org/10.17269/S41997-019-00219-Y

National Alliance to End Homelessness. (2023). *State of homelessness: 2023 edition.* https://endhomelessness.org

National Center for Homeless Education. (2023). *Federal data summary: School years 2018–19 to 2020–21: Education for homeless children and youth*. University of North Carolina at Greensboro. https://nche.ed.gov/data-and-stats/

Nnawulezi, N., Macy, R., Wretman, C., Radtke, S., Clark, D. A., & Sullivan, C. M. (2025). Separate and Cumulative Impacts of Trauma-Informed Practices and a Housing Intervention on the Safety, Housing Stability and Mental Health of Domestic Violence Survivors Over Two Years. *Journal of Family Violence*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10896-025-00989-9

Piat, M., Polvere, L., Kirst, M., Voronka, J., Zabkiewicz, D., Plante, M.-C., Isaak, C., Nolin, D., Nelson, G., & Goering, P. (2015). Pathways into homelessness: Understanding how both

individual and structural factors contribute to and sustain homelessness in Canada. Urban Studies, 52(13), 2366–2382. https://doi.org/10.1177/0042098014548138

Reppond, H. A., & Bullock, H. E. (2020). Reclaiming "good motherhood": US mothers' critical resistance in family homeless shelters. *Feminism & Psychology*, *30*(1), 100–120. https://doi.org/10.1177/0959353519870220

Rita, N., Garboden, P. M. E., & Darrah-Okike, J. (2023). "You Have to Prove that You're Homeless": Vulnerability and Gatekeeping in Public Housing Prioritization Policies. *City & Community*, *22*(2), 83–104. https://doi.org/10.1177/15356841221129791

Shinn, M., Samuels, J., Fischer, S. N., Thompkins, A., & Fowler, P. J. (2015). Longitudinal Impact of a Family Critical Time Intervention on Children in High-Risk Families Experiencing Homelessness: A Randomized Trial. *American Journal of Community Psychology*, *56*(3–4), 205–216. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10464-015-9742-y

Spiropoulos, A., Desjardine, P., Adamo, J., Daya, R., Zaretsky, L., & Milaney, K. (2025). More than Just a Roof: Solutions to Better Support Families from Homelessness to Healing. *Societies*, *15*(4), 94. https://doi.org/10.3390/soc15040094

Sun, Y., Blewitt, C., Minson, V., Bajayo, R., Cameron, L., & Skouteris, H. (2024). Trauma-informed Interventions in Early Childhood Education and Care Settings: A Scoping Review. *Trauma, Violence, & Abuse*, *25*(1), 648–662. https://doi.org/10.1177/15248380231162967

Thompson, E. L., Galvin, A. M., Rohr, D., Klocek, C., Lowe, H., & Spence, E. E. (2020). Navigating the system for families experiencing homelessness: a community-driven

exploration of barriers encountered. *Journal of Children and Poverty*, *26*(2), 253–267. https://doi.org/10.1080/10796126.2020.1835131

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development [HUD]. (2023). *2023 Continuum of Care Homeless Assistance Programs: Housing Inventory Count Report—WA-500 Seattle/King County CoC*. HUD Exchange. https://files.hudexchange.info/reports/published/CoC_HIC_CoC_WA-500-2023_WA_2023.pdf

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development [HUD]. (2024). *The 2024 Annual Homeless Assessment Report (AHAR) to Congress: Part 1 – Point-In-Time Estimates of Homelessness*. Office of Community Planning and Development. Retrieved from https://www.huduser.gov/portal/sites/default/files/pdf/2024-AHAR-Part-1.pdf

Van der Kolk, B. (2014). The body keeps the score: Brain, mind, and body in the healing of trauma. *New York*, *3*.

Vision House. (2025, May 15). *State of homelessness in King County, Washington*. https://visionhouse.org/news/state-of-homelessness-in-king-county-washington

Wiewel, B., & Hernandez, L. (2022). Traumatic stress and homelessness: A review of the literature for practitioners. Clinical Social Work Journal, 50(1), 10–20. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10615-021-00824-w

Zhang, J., Lee, S.-K., Piehler, T. F., Gewirtz, A. H., & August, G. J. (2020). Bidirectional Relations between Parenting Practices and Child Externalizing Behaviors in Formerly

Homeless Families: A Random-Intercept Cross-Lagged Panel Analysis. *Parenting*, *20*(3), 177–199. https://doi.org/10.1080/15295192.2019.1694833

Zhu, P., Lau, J., & Navalta, C. P. (2020). An ecological approach to understanding pervasive and hidden shame in complex trauma. *Journal of Mental Health Counseling*, *42*(2), 155-169.